## The De Anza Academic Senate

## Approved Notes of the meeting of November 14th, 2011

<u>Senators and Officers present:</u> Bram, Chenoweth, Chow, Cruz, Freeman, Glapion, Hanna, Larson, Lee-Wheat, Leonard, Maynard, Mitchell, Nguyen, Quintero, Schaffer, Setziol, Stockwell, Sullivan, Swanner, Truong, Yang, and Vonmatt

**Senators and Officers Absent:** Botsford, Castaño, Khanna, Kryliouk, E. Lee, and Singh

**DASB:** Ornit Mohammed **Classified Senate:** 

Administrative Liaison: Guests: Emily Kinner, Randy Bryant, and Kulwant

Singh

SLO coordinators/Staff Development: Jackie Reza

**Curriculum Co Chair:** 

[NOTE: Item numbers are reflective of agenda numbers in the order they are actually taken up at the meeting.]

The meeting was called to order at 2:34, a quorum being present.

- **I. Approval of Notes and Agenda:** The agenda was approved as distributed with the removal of item V, Academic Senate Subcommittees. The draft notes of November 7<sup>th</sup> were approved as distributed.
- **II. Needs and Confirmations:** There is still an opening on the District Educational Technology Advisory Committee (ETAC).
- III. President and Vice President's Reports: Lee-Wheat started her report by characterizing the College Council response to the idea of a probationary year. In response to a question about that, Setziol explained that, although the idea had been in discussion several times in the past, it never made it to the level of Joint Development and Agreement. The officers were cautioned about the possibility of budget or prioritization criteria not previously agreed to emerging. Lee-Wheat assured the group that the criteria used by IPBT in current deliberations had already been agreed upon by the Senate.

Chow reminded the group that everyone is free to attend the Student Success Task Force open hearing on its recommendations Wednesday, November 16<sup>th</sup>. She further stated that she would attend were it not for a previous commitment to make a presentation at the Distance Learning workshop to be held during the same time

period. Next Chow announced that the deadline for applying for more Measure C funds has been moved to December 1<sup>st</sup>. Materials needed for application are available on the Campus Budget website, a link from <a href="www.deanza.edu/gov">www.deanza.edu/gov</a>, the governance website. The Measure C New Equipment Funding Request is a new process which will involve review of requests by the PBTs and will be incorporated this year. Deadlines for the Senate to submit recommendations for the Regina Stanback-Stroud Diversity Award and the Hayward Award for Teaching Excellence are February 3<sup>rd</sup> and December 5<sup>th</sup> respectively. She ended by distributing the latest flyer for the previously announced Rob Fairlie event November 30<sup>th</sup> at 3:00 PM.

## IV. Resolution on response to Student Success Task Force

**Recommendations:** Chow began by explaining that instead of asking the group to consider a resolution authored by her or the officers, an even more action oriented item was to happen. She distributed several documents pointing to selected recommendations of the Student Success Task Force and had the Senators select a recommendation of interest to them. Small groups were then tasked with writing responses to the recommendations. The ultimate goal of the exercise was to get a number of responses written and then supported via the Ideascale mechanism which is being used by the task force for public comment.

VI. Short Term and Long Term Instructional Budget Cuts: Chow and Lee-Wheat began by making a thorough presentation explaining the daunting task assigned to the IPBT and the reason for the tight timeframe given. Faculty members of the IPBT were present and wished to hear from the group about basic priorities and approaches. One of them in particular spoke pointedly about how overwhelmed he was by the data for various reasons. Others emphasized how open, transparent, and fair the IPBT process has been in their years of service. The Senators were unprepared to give a response to a question about whether the IPBT should recommend course reductions only versus program reduction or possible elimination. During a long and thoughtful discussion, the IPBT members expressed a desire to have a separate meeting with the officers aimed at getting useful direction for upcoming IPBT deliberations. Chow announced that the IPBT members and the officers would meet immediately following the Executive Committee meeting.

**VII.** Good of the Order: Nothing was mentioned.

The meeting was adjourned at 4: 39